

**Blair County Dirt, Gravel and Low Volume Road Program
Application Evaluation and Ranking Worksheet**
(adopted February, 2015)

For District Use Only	
	Total Score

Select Type of Application: **Dirt and Gravel Road** _____ **Low Volume Road** _____

Section 1: Application Validation

- | | | |
|--|-----|----|
| Does this road site negatively impact a stream, lake, wetland or other water body? | Yes | No |
| Will the proposed project reduce environmental impacts to a water body? | Yes | No |
| Has the person in charge of developing and/ or implementing the project attended
ESM training or been recertified within the past five (5) years? | Yes | No |
| Does the proposed application meet all SCC requirements (non-polluting, pipe size, etc)? | Yes | No |
| Does the proposed application meet all policies adopted by the Blair County QAB? | Yes | No |
| Has the applicant identified and agreed to obtain all necessary permits? | Yes | No |
| <u>DGR ONLY</u> : Is the road open to public travel for at least 2 consecutive weeks per year? | Yes | No |
| <u>LVR ONLY</u> : Has the Traffic Count Validation form been provided and signed by the applicant? | Yes | No |

If any of the questions above are answered NO, the application is currently not eligible for funding.

Section 2: Application Ranking (total 55 points possible)

Severity of the Problem and Stream Designation

- | | |
|---|-----------|
| Road drainage impact to stream | _____ (5) |
| Severe (5) Moderate (3) Slight (1) None (0) | |
| If the application includes replacement of a Stream Crossing what is the current
condition of that crossing? | _____ (5) |
| Significantly Undersized/Eroded (5) Undersized/ Eroded (3) Adequately sized or N/A (0) | |
| Distance to impacted stream, wetland or waterbody | _____ (5) |
| <50'/crossing (5) 50'-150' (3) >150' (1) | |
| Road Slope | _____ (5) |
| >15% (5) 5-15% (3) <5% (1) | |
| Slope to Stream | _____ (5) |
| >30% (5) 15-30% (3) <15% (1) | |
| Outlets to Stream | _____ (5) |
| Directly to stream (5) Near Stream (3) None (1) | |
| Outlets stability | _____ (5) |
| Unstable (5) Poor (3) Fair (1) Stable (0) | |
| Road ditch stability | _____ (5) |
| Unstable (5) Poor (3) Fair (1) Stable (0) | |

- Road bank stability** _____ (5)
 Unstable (5) Poor (3) Fair (1) Stable (0)
- Off Right of Way Impacts addressed in the application** _____ (5)
 Yes or N/A (5) No (0)
- Classification of stream** _____ (5)
 EV (5) HQ-CWF (4) CWF (3) TSF (2) WWF (1)

Effectiveness of Solution (total 25 points possible)

- Degree to which the project remediates impacts to water body** _____ (10)
 Almost completely (10) Highly (8) Moderately (5) Slightly (1)
- Degree to which the project improves the road** _____ (5)
 Extremely high (5) Highly (3) Moderately (1) Slightly (0)
- Does the project address drainage, bank stability and vegetative cover?** _____ (10)
 Yes, all aspects addressed (10) Yes, but not all (5) No (0)

Other Factors (total 20 points possible)

- Has the applicant received funding in the past?** _____ (5)
 No (5) Yes, but not within the last year (3) Yes, last year (1)
- In-kind Contribution from applicant** _____ (5)
 Over 25% (5) 10-25% (3) 1-10% (1)
- Did the applicant contact the CD about the specific project before submitting the application?** _____ (5)
 Met with CD on-site (5) Discussed site details with CD (3) No (0)
- Is applicant maintaining recently funded Program projects properly?** _____ (5)
 Yes (or first project) (5) Recent projects still functional (3) No (0)

Point Summary:

Severity of the Problem and Stream Designation _____ (55 possible points)
 Effectiveness of Solution _____ (25 possible points)
 Other Factors _____ (20 possible points)
 TOTAL SCORE _____ (100 possible points)